

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜಿ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ತಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಜಾ ಪ್ರಭುತ್ವದಲ್ಲಿ ಬದಲಾಗಿ ಜಾಗವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಚಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಹಾಗೇನೂ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಇ. ರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಫೈನಾನ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಂದುಳಿದ ಪಂಚಾಯತಿ ಬೋರ್ಡಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಮೂಲಕವಾಗಿಯಾಗಲಿ, ಲೋನ್ ಮೂಲಕವಾಗಿಯಾಗಲಿ, ಹಣಕೊಟ್ಟು ಅದನ್ನು ಜಾಗೃತ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಚಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಇಷ್ಟೊಂದು ಸಾಲ ಅಥವಾ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾ ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಂಡರೆ, ನಮ್ಮ ಬಜಾನೆಯಲ್ಲಾ ಬರಿದಾಗಿ ಹೋಗಿಬಿಡಬಹುದು. ಇದು ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಯೋಜನೆ. ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಯೋಜನೆಗೆ ಆಳವಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು.

Misappropriation of Funds in Talikot Panchayat.

*Q.—601. Smt. SAMBRANI YELLAWWA (Ranibennur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they are aware of the misappropriation of funds in Talikot Panchayat ;

(b) whether they have received an Audit Report from the Inspector of Anti-corruption Department in respect of the above Panchayat ?

A.—Sri T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(a) It is reported that there has been misappropriation of funds.

(b) The report has not yet reached Government.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸಾಂಬ್ರಾಣಿ ಯಲ್ಲವ್ವ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಹಣ ಮಿಸ್‌ಅಪ್ರೋಪ್ರಿಯೇಟ್ ಆಗಿದೆ ? ಯಾವ ತಾರೀಖಿನಿಂದ ಆಗಿದೆ ?

†ಶ್ರೀ ಚಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—4,281 ರೂಪಾಯಿ misappropriate ಆಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಾರೀಖು 23 ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 1959ರಲ್ಲಿ ವರದಿಯಾಗಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಸಾಂಬ್ರಾಣಿ ಯಲ್ಲವ್ವ.—ಏನು ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೀರಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಚಿ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಪತ್ತೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri J. L. KABADI.—Is it not a fact that the Public Prosecutor has been won over by the person involved in the case ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I am not aware.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಮಪ್ಪ.—ದುರುಪಯೋಗವಾದ ಹಣ ಯಾವ ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ ?

L.A.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—From 1955 and onwards.

Sri P. G. SIDDHANTI.—Is the Government not in a position to know the result of investigation ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—A committee was appointed by the Local Board to go into the matter. Their report has been received recently, i.e., on 23rd March 1959. We are examining it.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The Hon'ble Minister said that 4,000 and odd rupees have been misappropriated; may I know the persons involved in various departments ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Those details cannot be given now because I have no information. The whole matter has to be examined.

Sri P. G. SIDDHANTI.—Will the Government place on the Table of the House the result of the investigation ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Details of investigation cannot be placed on the Table of the House in case we decide upon launching prosecution.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Shall we take it that the Government is pursuing it and whether there has been prosecution or not ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—There has been no prosecution so far.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Question time is over.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

Admission of Harijan Students to High School Classes in Karnatak Rashtreeya Vidyalaya, Bidar.

*Q.—436. Sri J. P. SARWESH (Serum).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether it is not a fact that the Management of Karnatak Rashtreeya Vidyalaya, Bidar, instructed the Head Master not to admit Harijan students particularly to high school classes ;

(b) whether it is not a fact that the Head Master has complained about this to the Education Department ?

A.—Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI
(Minister for Education).—

(a) No.

(b) No.

Supply of Electricity to the Villages in Tarikere Taluk in 1958-59.

*Q.—519. Sri T. R. PARAMESHWARIAH (Tarikere).—

* Will the Government be pleased to state:—

the number of villages that have been supplied with electricity since 1958-59 in Tarikere Taluk?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public works and Electricity).—Nil.

Introduction of N.E.S. Scheme in Hassan Taluk.

*Q.—523. Sri K. T. DASAPPA (Hassan).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether they propose to introduce N.E.S. Scheme in Hassan Taluk this year?

A.—Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Deputy Minister for Planning and Development).—

Hassan Taluk has not been allotted a Block from 1st April, 1959. The request will be kept in view and will be considered on merits at the time of next allotment of Blocks.

Veterinary Hospital to Darinayakanapalya.

*Q.—606. Sri S. MUNI RAJU (Chikkaballapur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether any representation has been received by the Government for opening a Veterinary Hospital at Darinayakanapalya in Gauribidanur Taluk;

(b) the action taken by them in this behalf?

A.—Sri K. F. PATIL (Minister for Agriculture and Food).—

(a) Yes.

(b) The question is under examination.

MYSORE VILLAGE PANCHAYATS AND LOCAL BOARDS BILL, 1959.

(As reported by the Joint Select
Committee.)

Motion to consider.

(Debate continued.)

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Discussion will continue on the Mysore Village Panchayats and Local Boards Bill.

†Sri S. D. KOTHAVALA (Chikodi).—Article 40 of the Constitution speaks of the organisation of village panchayats and in the light of the directive principles in the Constitution I propose to consider the various provisions regarding the constitution of the Panchayats, their powers and functions, and substantial resources. With regard to recognition as a village panchayat the minimum population required as per the provision in the Bill is 1,500. I submitted yesterday that this is a very big number and it is necessary to reduce it to at least one thousand. The reason is this: as pointed out by me yesterday Panchayat and co-operative society are going to be two institutions on which the political economy of the country is to be based.

2 P.M.

It has been opined by experts on the subject that as far as possible a revenue village should be supplied with a panchayat and a co-operative society. But the question regarding financial viability has been raised. If a revenue village is a very small unit, with a population of 500 or less, it will not be possible to have financial viability for such a panchayat. Therefore we have to find a *via media*. I propose a population of one thousand would be a good number. There are many villages which have a population of 500 or less